Colposcopy Service Centre Site Visit Assessment Form

Name of the Colposcopy Centre:	
Centre Representative:	
Date of Visit:	
Names of the Assessors:	1.
	2.

	Assessment Criteria	Pass	
	Staff		
1.1	One or more accredited colposcopist		M
1.2	Competent assistants in running colposcopy clinic		M
	Physical Environment		
2.1	Designated service area		M
2.2	Clean environment		M
2.3	Adequate privacy		M
3.4	Comfortable couch		m
	Equipment		
3.1	≥1 colposcope in each named site		M
3.2	CCD to display images to patients		m
3.3	Image capture		m
3.4	Sterilized instrument		M
3.5	Equipments for LEEP procedure		M
	LEEP machine		
	 Suction apparatus with viral filter 		
	Local anaesthesia		
3.6	Cryotherapy		m
3.7	Monsel solution/ Silver nitrate		m
3.8	Endometrial sampler		M
3.9	Conventional smear and/or Liquid base cytology		M
3.10	STD screening facilities		m
3.11	HPV test		m
3.12	Emergency support and resuscitation facilitiesmade available within 20 minutes		M

	Service Volume	
4.1	≥50 new cases annually	M
	Waiting time	
5.1	=< 2 week for suspected carcinoma. AGC-favourneoplasia	M
5.2	=< 8 weeks for HGSIL, ASC-H, AGNOS	
5.3	=< 18 weeks for ASC-US x 2, LGSIL	m
	Record Keeping	
6.1	The format is clear and adequate (≥5 points)	M
0.1	Indication	1,1
	Description	
	 Description Drawing or picture 	
	Diagnosis	
	Management plan	
	Pathology report	
6.2	The table for the correlation between 'Correlation	M
0.2	between colposcopic diagnosis and worst histological	11/1
	finding in colposcopic directed cervical biopsy results'	
	should contain at least 50% of the total number of	
	colposcopy examination submitted, and should have at	
	least 50 cases in total.	
	Doth clear Cunnet	
7 1	Pathology Support Supported by Spacialists in Pathology	M
	Supported by Specialists in Pathology	M
		M M
7.1	Supported by Specialists in Pathology	
7.1 7.2 8.1	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary	
7.2 8.1	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards	M
7.2 8.1 8.2	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III	M m
7.2 8.1 8.2	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III >= 80% treatment performed under LA	m m
7.2	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III >= 80% treatment performed under LA >= 90% LEEP performed have CIN	m m
7.2 8.1 8.2 8.3	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III >= 80% treatment performed under LA >= 90% LEEP performed have CIN Audit Mechanism is in place	m m m
7.2 8.1 8.2 8.3	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III >= 80% treatment performed under LA >= 90% LEEP performed have CIN Audit	m m m
7.2 8.1 8.2 8.3	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III >= 80% treatment performed under LA >= 90% LEEP performed have CIN Audit Mechanism is in place One year report for new application and two annual audit	m m m
7.2 8.1 8.2 8.3	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III >= 80% treatment performed under LA >= 90% LEEP performed have CIN Audit Mechanism is in place One year report for new application and two annual audit reports for reaccreditation are available	m m m
7.2 8.1 8.2 8.3 9.1 9.2	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III >= 80% treatment performed under LA >= 90% LEEP performed have CIN Audit Mechanism is in place One year report for new application and two annual audit reports for reaccreditation are available Management Protocol	M m m m M M
7.2 8.1 8.2 8.3 9.1 9.2	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III >= 80% treatment performed under LA >= 90% LEEP performed have CIN Audit Mechanism is in place One year report for new application and two annual audit reports for reaccreditation are available Management Protocol Comply with the HKCOG guidelines Communication to Patients	M m m m M M M
7.2 8.1 8.2 8.3 9.1 9.2	Supported by Specialists in Pathology Slide review when necessary Benchmark Standards >= 60% sensitivity in detecting CIN II/III >= 80% treatment performed under LA >= 90% LEEP performed have CIN Audit Mechanism is in place One year report for new application and two annual audit reports for reaccreditation are available Management Protocol Comply with the HKCOG guidelines	M m m m M M

	Communication to Referring Doctors	
12.1	Reply letter	m
12.2	• Refer out letter	m

To get accredited, centres need to fulfill all Major criteria (M) and \geq 50% ofminor criteria (m).

Conclusio	ns:		
We thereb	by recommend / do not recommend*		
be accredi	ted as Colposcopy Service centre.		
Signature	:	Signature:	
Name :		Name:	
*Deficien			
Item	Comments and Suggestions		